CSCI 4961/4962: Capstone Project

Instructor

Instructor: Dr. Michael Goldwasser
Email: goldwamh@slu.edu
Web: http://cs.slu.edu/~goldwasser/
Office: Ritter Hall 355
Telephone: (314) 977-7039
Office hours: Tuesdays 3:00–4:00pm
       Wednesdays 2:00–3:00pm
       Fridays 12:00–1:00pm
       or by appointment

Overview

The Capstone Project serves as a concluding achievement for graduating students, allowing them to apply knowledge that they have gained from the Computer Science curriculum toward a year-long project. Formally, the project is completed as part of a two-semester, sequence of 2-credit courses: CSCI 4961 (Capstone Project I) and CSCI 4962 (Capstone Project II).

Key roles in the capstone course are as follows:

- **Student Team**
  Each project is to be completed by a team of students, although in some cases, that team may consist of a single student.

- **Instructor**
  The instructor-of-record for the course is responsible for the administration of the course, scheduling of presentations, and record keeping regarding grades.

- **Supervisor**
  Each project will have a “Supervisor” who is a CS faculty member who will oversee the team on the project, and can be a sounding board on technical issues. The Supervisor may or may not be the instructor-of-record for the course.

- **(Client)**
  For some projects, there will be a clearly identified “Client” who originally proposed the project or is a potential end user of the result. The client might serve as a primary point-of-contact in shaping the desired specification for an end product and to provide feedback on early prototypes.
Project Selection

During the opening weeks of CSCI 4961, students are responsible for working with the instructor, potential supervising faculty, and peer students in order to build teams, explore project ideas, and develop a concrete plan for the year, culminating in a formal contract (see below).

Typically, the instructor will circulate a list of potential projects to consider. These projects are often suggested by CS faculty members based on research endeavors or educational tools, are based on requests coming from members of the broader SLU community, or in some cases from external non-profit groups. Student teams are also welcome to suggest their own projects for approval. The goal is to pursue projects that have an appropriate scope for a year-long sequence, having a richness in both design aspects and use of technology. For the sake of example, we will provide a list of past project descriptions.

At the conclusion of the initial period, teams must sign a contract, together with the Supervisor and Instructor, providing a high-level project description and detailing the requirements for successful completion, and key checkpoints during the process. This year, the contract must be signed by Friday, September 11.

Project Timeline, Deliverables, Presentations

Each project is unique, and teams may adopt one of a variety of project management styles. However, all teams must adhere to the following checkpoints and timeline (details of which follow).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Work</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Friday, September 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly reports</td>
<td>submitted each Friday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCI 4961: Deliverable #1</td>
<td>Friday, October 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCI 4962: Deliverable #3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm presentation</td>
<td>TBD, week of October 12–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCI 4961: Deliverable #2</td>
<td>Friday, December 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCI 4962: Deliverable #4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final presentation</td>
<td>Tuesday, December 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team self-assessment</td>
<td>Tuesday, December 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Deliverables
  Given the wide range of projects, there is no one-size-fits-all definition for the deliverables, but as part of the initial contract, the students and Supervisor should outline four major stages of the project, that are to be achieved by the four checkpoints in our timeline (middle of first semester, end of first semester, middle of second semester, end of second semester).
For teams following a traditional waterfall model, likely checkpoints are as follows:

- Deliverable #1: Requirements Specification
  A written document that clearly define the goals of the final product, in terms of functionality, user interface, resource usage, and other such factors. This document should include a project overview, any necessary background knowledge, and an enumeration of formal requirements specification for the eventual product (e.g., “Requirement 7b”).

- Deliverable #2: Design Document
  A written document that describes a detailed design for achieving the formal requirements. A design document should include a description of the major components, their interfaces and how they interact to form the whole. Figures should be included for clarity, such as a UML diagram of the software design or an ER-diagram for a database. This document should also contain a discussion of any third-party technologies or software packages that will be used in meeting the project goals. Teams should demonstrate that they have already evaluated and familiarized themselves with any such technologies. Finally, this document must include a proposed time-line for the remainder of the project life cycle, making sure to include specific sub-goals for the development, implementation, and testing phases of the project.

- Deliverable #3: Alpha Version
  The alpha version of the project is a preliminary implementation that includes all major functionality of the final product, yet may lack some advanced features, have a less polished interface, and contain some known bugs.

- Deliverable #4: Final Product
  The final product must be submitted, including complete source code, documentation for deployment and usage, database schemas, analysis, and so on, as appropriate for the project.

For teams following an agile development process, the deliverables are more naturally going to be a series of working products with increasing refinement.

For teams exploring research-driven questions, the deliverables might be papers that describe the work and results.

- Presentations
  The teams will make four presentations during the two-semester sequence, typically just after a recent deliverable was submitted. Each presentation will be scheduled with 20 minutes for a formal presentation, followed by up to 10 minutes of questions from faculty members in the audience. Teams should prepare polished presentation materials and for most projects include a live demonstration of the current state of a product. Teams should also make sure to test the presentation and demonstrations in the Linux lab, well in advance of the scheduled presentation.
• **Weekly Reports**
  Each team is responsible for submitting a brief progress report by the end of each Friday of the semester. During the initial period, when teams have not yet been formed, each individual should email a report to the Instructor letting him or her know about what progress has been made in researching potential projects and teams. Once a contract is in place for a project, all subsequent weekly reports should be submitted to the team repository (details below), and accessible to both the Instructor and the project Supervisor.

  A report should indicate what was accomplished during the weekly by each team member, what challenges were encountered, and a plan for activities in the upcoming week.

• **Team Self-Assessment**
  For teams comprised of two or more students, each individual must complete and submit a *Team Self-Assessment Form*, detailing his or her perception of the contributions of each team member.

**Grading**

Each semester of the capstone project is graded based upon the performance during that semester. The evaluation of students artifacts and presentations will be made by a combination of the Instructor and project Supervisor. The overall grade will be weighted as follows:

- 5% Weekly Reports
- 20% Deliverable #1 (CSCI 4961) or Deliverable #3 (CSCI 4962)
- 15% Midterm Presentation
- 45% Deliverable #2 (CSCI 4961) or Deliverable #4 (CSCI 4962)
- 15% Final Presentation

Letter grades will then be assigned based on the following formula.

Student percentage above 90% will result in a grade of A or better.
Student percentage above 87% will result in a grade of A- or better.
Student percentage above 83% will result in a grade of B+ or better.
Student percentage above 80% will result in a grade of B or better.
Student percentage above 77% will result in a grade of B- or better.
Student percentage above 73% will result in a grade of C+ or better.
Student percentage above 70% will result in a grade of C or better.
Student percentage above 67% will result in a grade of C- or better.
Student percentage above 60% will result in a grade of D or better.
Student percentage below 60% will result in a grade of F.
Although team members will typically receive similar grades, in some cases the Instructor and Supervisor may consider the relative contribution of individual team members in assigning individual grades.

Repository for Project Artifacts

All teams will be required to use git repositories on turing for all project artifacts (e.g., weekly reports, all deliverables, source codes, presentation materials). More details about the process will be forthcoming.

Academic Integrity

Academic integrity is honesty, truthful and responsible conduct in all academic endeavors. The mission of Saint Louis University is “the pursuit of truth for the greater glory of God and for the service of humanity.” Accordingly, all acts of falsehood demean and compromise the corporate endeavors of teaching, research, health care, and community service via which SLU embodies its mission. The University strives to prepare students for lives of personal and professional integrity, and therefore regards all breaches of academic integrity as matters of serious concern.

The governing University-level Academic Integrity Policy can be accessed on the Provost’s Office website. A more detailed policy statement is given by the College of Arts & Science ([www.slu.edu/colleges/AS/academic_honesty.html](http://www.slu.edu/colleges/AS/academic_honesty.html)), also applying to this course.

Supporting Student Success

In recognition that people learn in a variety of ways and that learning is influenced by multiple factors (e.g., prior experience, study skills, learning disability), resources to support student success are available on campus. Students who think they might benefit from these resources can find out more about:

- Course-level support in the remainder of this section or by asking the instructor
- University-level support (e.g., tutoring/writing services, Disability Services) by visiting the Student Success Center (BSC 331) or by going to [www.slu.edu/success](http://www.slu.edu/success).

Students with a documented disability who wish to request academic accommodations are encouraged to contact Disability Services at 314-977-3484 or visit the Student Success Center. Confidentiality will be observed in all inquiries.