

# CSCI 4961/4962 Presentation Rubric

Group name:

Reviewer Name:

	<b>20pts</b>	<b>15pts</b>	<b>10pts</b>	<b>5pts</b>	<b>0pts</b>
<b>Introduction and Motivation</b>	Describes why project is useful, identifies novelty, differentiates from existing projects and software	Describes problem and solution, justifies why problem is important	Describes group's problem and how their project is a solution	Only states project goals or gives project outline	Does not motivate project
<b>Progress Update</b>	Clear discussion of deliverable goals and how met (or not), clear discussion of timeline, clear future plan	Group does not discuss all deliverables, group discusses timeline, gives tentative plan	Group discusses general progress but deliverables and timeline are not clear, gives tentative plan	Progress of group is unclear, little sense of group's future plans	Does not discuss deliverable goals or project timeline
<b>Technical Content</b>	Any CS person walks away with appreciation for technical challenges and lessons learned	Any CS person should understand the technical content of the talk	A CS expert would understand and appreciate the technical content of the talk	Technical content is vague, does not contribute to understanding of group's progress	Does not convey a sense of technical challenges or lessons learned
<b>Artifacts</b> (live demo, video, screenshots, mock-ups, design documents, experimental results, etc. as appropriate)	Artifacts clearly indicate that deliverables have been achieved, better artifacts (e.g. a live demo) could not have been achieved	Provides solid evidence of group progress, groups at deliverables 3&4 provide demo or evidence of working system	Provides evidence of progress towards some deliverables	Some artifacts, but major elements of project are unaddressed	Presents no evidence of group's progress
<b>Presentation Quality</b>	Polished visual appeal, all group members speak, presentation well-organized, things go smoothly	High visual appeal, all group members speak, presentation well-organized, only minor hiccups	Graphics and text adequately convey information, not all members speak equally, only minor hiccups	Graphics and text legible but not effective, one major presenter, distracting flaws in talk or slides	Presentation is haphazard and does not convey information effectively

Comments or notes for students and capstone instructor:

[Faculty only] Recommended letter grade for this presentation: