# Minimize Schedule Delay

CSCI 3100

### Schedule to Minimize Delay

Input: a set of jobs, S, where each job "i" has a length t(i) and deadline

Output: schedule of all jobs on the same resource such that each job is delayed as little as possible

Example: schedule of doing your homework.

## Delay

A job "i" is delayed if it is finished after the deadline: f(i) > d(i)

The **lateness** of a job is max(0, f(i) - d(i))

The lateness of a **schedule** is  $max_i = max(0, f(i) - d(i))$ 

### Problem statement

**Input**:  $\{(t(i), d(i)), 1 \le i \le n\}$ 

**Optput**:  $\{s(i), 1 \le i \le n\}$  of start time such that: •  $\max_i (\max (0, s(i) + t(i) - d(i)))$  is minimized

# Template for Greedy Algorithm

Question: in what order should we schedule the jobs?

- Shortest length (increasing order of length t(i))?
- Shortest slack time (increasing order of d(i) t(i))?
- Earliest deadline (increasing order of d(i))?

#### Earliest Deadline First

```
Order the jobs in order of their deadlines Assume for simplicity of notation that d_1 \leq \ldots \leq d_n Initially, f = s Consider the jobs i = 1, \ldots, n in this order Assign job i to the time interval from s(i) = f to f(i) = f + t_i Let f = f + t_i End Return the set of scheduled intervals [s(i), f(i)] for i = 1, \ldots, n
```

- Proof of correctness: use exchange argument
- Gradually modify optimal schedule O until it is the same as the schedule A produced by this algorithm

### **Properties of Schedules**

A schedule has an *inversion* if a job i with deadline d(i) is scheduled before a job j with an earlier deadline d(j), i.e., d(j) < d(i) and s(i) < s(j).

Claim 1: The algorithm produces a schedule with no inversions and no idle time

Claim 2: All schedules with no inversions and no idle time have the same lateness.

Claim 3: There is an optimal schedule with no idle time

Claim 4: There is an optimal schedule with no inversions and no idle time.

Claim 5: The greedy algorithm produces an optimal schedule (follows from 1, 2, and 4)

### Proving claim 4

Claim 4: There is an optimal schedule with no inversions and no idle time.

Approach: Start with an optimal schedule O and use an exchange argument to convert O into a schedule that satisfies Claim 4 and has lateness not larger than O.

| $\cap$ | 17 | 7 | /1 | $\cap$ | 1 | C |
|--------|----|---|----|--------|---|---|
| 9/     | Z  | 1 |    | U      | Τ | C |

